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The ultimate goal to building a New Rural Development (NRD) (similar to Saemaul Undong Movement in Korea) is to sustainably improve the material and spiritual life of the people. Our study investigates how citizen participation may influence effectiveness of the NRD program and citizen satisfaction in implementing the NRD in Nha Be District in the period of 2016-2020. Our work adopts a questionnaire-based survey designed to gather data from 780 participants using random cluster sampling technique. Our findings reveal that citizen participation significantly affects citizen satisfaction via the mediating role of the NRD program effectiveness but not directly between citizen participation and citizen satisfaction. Finally, our study offers theoretical contributions and policy implications for decision makers and NRD program managers.
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1. Introduction

Implementing a New Rural Development (NRD) (similar to Saemaul Undong in Korea) is a major policy and program of the government with the goal of building a new rural economic infrastructure-modern society gradually, economic structure and forms of production organization rationally, linking agriculture with rapid development of industry and services. This program further associates rural development with urban areas following planning scheme to establish democratic and stable rural society, rich in national cultural identity, ecologically environmental protection. Additionally, this program maintains and improves local security, material and spiritual life of the people (NRD Program, 2016). Furthermore, the involvement of the program's citizen in deciding the program's effectiveness is important. To succeed, citizens must participate actively to the program to produce program effectiveness and satisfaction (Baek, Kim, & Lee, 2012; Yoo, 1987). The NRD has started since 2010 on a national scale has been successfully implemented, recognizing that in addition to the support of the Government and the contribution of society, it is necessary to maximize human resources, mobilize to socialize to achieve the goals sustainably. In Nha Be district, in order to build its NRD with its own particularity, it requires the consensus of all people, the political system, and households. Businesses, industrial parks, individuals and organizations inside and outside the district can fully mobilize resources and promote available strengths and advantages to help complete the project ahead of schedule and ensure to improve the quality of the entire program.
On the other hand, building the NRD with appropriate planning orientation, investment in infrastructure, environment and social services with orientation to urban transformation, in order to gradually prepare for urbanization, helping to avoid wasting investment resources. Furthermore, it is essential that the local citizens or people have the main role in building NRD, the consent of the people is a decisive factor for the success of the program. It is necessary to regularly propagate and mobilize individuals and organizations to actively improve the implementation of the NRD to ensure that people are both subjects and beneficiaries of the results (McArdle, 2012; Tewari & Isemonger, 1998). Therefore, it is necessary to propagate and mobilize to encourage enterprising actions of the people to promote true democracy and always be transparent and open, towards collective interests in deciding the choice of content, inspection, monitoring and evaluation of the program implementation in each locality (Wi, 2019). It is also critical to mobilize the whole community to work together to complete the individual targets and the overall goals of the program for each timeline, geographical location, and environment.

However, there are still limitations in reality on the level of citizen participation. Specifically, environment, infrastructure, social order and safety, traffic, education, health care, culture, etc. are, to some extent, not all protected. Therefore, it is important for all the people to collectively participate in NRD program implementation towards achieving the program goals in all stages of the program. To have accurate picture of what is going on in terms of citizen participation, NRD program effectiveness and satisfaction, it is thus important to evaluate the level of citizen participation that may affect citizen satisfaction of the people in implementing the NRD program in Nha Be District during 2016 - 2020 and this is quite significant for program implementation in the period of 2021 - 2025. Currently, there have not been any studies to examine the relationships between citizen participation, NRD program effectiveness and satisfaction of the NRD program in Nha Be district. To fill this gap, it is therefore critical to conduct this research in Nha Be district. Our study investigates how citizen participation may influence effectiveness of the NRD program and citizen satisfaction in implementing the NRD in Nha Be District in the period of 2016 - 2020.

2. Literature review and hypotheses development

2.1. Citizen participation


Citizen participation is seen as necessary to assist in the management or decision-making of the government (Baiocchi, 2003; Mahjabeen, Shrestha, & Dee, 2009; Yang & Callahan, 2007). Citizens participating in government activities will create effective governance, promoting redistribution (Baiocchi, 2003). Public participation is defined as the performance of business operations in managerial and executive decisions (Langton, 1978). Involvement of many people in public service functions including economic development, public health, education, environmental protection and public safety (Aryani, Garrett, & Alsabrook, 2000; Foley, 1998; Iglitzin, 1995; Morgan, 1984).

In authentic participation, citizens are the dominant advocates and decision makers, and
government are goal setting, providing incentives, monitoring processes, and providing information (Gray & Chapin, 1998; Plein, Green & Williams, 1998). In addition, there are some theories that it is more difficult in urbanized areas than in rural areas in terms of contribution from the people (Oliver, 2000). However, promoting actual participation is never an easy task (Handley & Howell-Moroney, 2010). People are more involved in small cities because small communities promote greater social cohesion (Barber, 2004; Oliver, 2000; Ross & Levine, 2001). People enjoy interacting with others like themselves because they share common interests and are socialized with the same cultural norms (Costa & Kahn, 2003). Citizens face greater challenges in large cities to build such networks, and there is less cohesion that further impedes their rights than other stakeholders. According to Wang (2001), citizens participate together with development agencies in formulating agendas, prioritizing, initiating and implementing projects by contributing ideas, concerns, materials, money, labor and time.

Berner (2003) found that many municipalities establish civic participation mechanisms because state laws, attitudes, and state mandates have a significant influence on their performance when local participation is involved. Callahan (2002) affirms that Citizens Advisory Committees are common and play an important role at the local level. Citizen participation is tied to policy outcomes and improves local service delivery and public programs (Ebdon, 2000, 2002; Roberts, 2004). Public participation has important benefits for governance outside of government because democratic values are realized by participatory mechanisms (Berner, 2003; Thomas, 1995). According to King et al. (1998), local governments may adopt different strategies to encourage the participation of the people to improve their satisfaction about the services at the local level.

André, Martin, and Lanmamafankpotin (2012) contend that the participation of the people is the process by which people participate on a voluntary basis or mandatory and act alone or in groups with the aim to influence the decision to impact to the entire community. This participation can take place in two directions inside-outside the institutional framework, and it can be organized by members of social organizations or people who can exercise decision-making. At the same time, it will be influenced by awareness, culture or geographical conditions of each region, but the level of people's participation in community development activities shows different levels. Arnstein (1969) proposed a “ladder of participation” with eight levels, or rungs, corresponding to increasing degrees of citizens' power in decision making. At the bottom of the ladder are two rungs, Manipulation and Therapy, which Arnstein categorized as Nonparticipation. The middle rungs 3, 4 and 5, identified respectively as Informing, Consultation and Placation, belong to the category of Tokenism. At the top of the ladder, rungs 6, 7 and 8 correspond to Partnership, Delegated Power and Citizen Control respectively and are classified as Citizen Power. The higher up the ladder an instance of citizen participation can be placed, the more citizens can be sure that their opinions will be integrated into decision making and applied in the interest of their community.

Along the line, citizen participation in government decision-making helps to improve policy in terms of performance, decision legitimacy, citizen response and trust in the government (E. Berman & Wang, 2000; King et al., 1998; Walters, Aydelotte, & Miller, 2000). Inheriting such knowledge with citizen participation is the government's efforts to involve citizens in administrative decisions, making and management processes. Often concentration occurs when managers or administrators interact with citizens, which is different from political participation such as voting in elections, contacting elected officials vote and campaign for
political candidates (Verba & Nie, 1987; Verba, Schlozman, Brady, & Nie, 1993). Following Oliver (2000), citizen participation can take many forms, ranging from individual volunteering to organizational participation to vote.

2.2. Citizen satisfaction

From a theoretical perspective, there can be different perspectives on an individual's life satisfaction. Ramseook – Munhurrun, Lukea-Bhiwajee, and Naidoo (2010) identified factors affecting "customer satisfaction" including: procedures, service attitude, staff capacity. Citizen satisfaction is very important to public officials because it demonstrates citizens' perceptions of government efforts as well as highlights their preferences, needs of citizens and assessment of local government capacity (Hassett & Watson, 2003; Kelly, 2003; Miller, 1985).

Tse & Wilton (1988) stated that satisfaction is the user's response before estimating the perceived performance between the previous desire and the actual outcome as perceived by that individual user. Therefore, satisfaction is the difference between users' actual perceptions and their expectations (Oliver, 1999). If the actual perception is not as expected, they will be satisfied, if the actual benefits are higher than the expectations, the phenomenon of satisfaction is high or exceeded (Oliver, 1999).

Customer satisfaction is an emotional state towards a product or service that has been used (Spreng, MacKenzie, & Olshavsky, 1996). According to A. K. Smith and Bolton (2002), satisfaction is the emotional response of customers in response to their experience with a certain product or service. Oliver (1999) stated satisfaction as the overall affective induction to perceive the difference between expectations and feelings after using a product or service. Giese & Cote (2000) considers the customer as the ultimate consumer and their research identifies three general components of “customer satisfaction” as: (1) Customer satisfaction is an overall emotional response, that is, variations in emotional intensity; (2) That emotional response is associated with the goal of product selection, purchase of goods, or product consumption; and (3) Emotional responses at a particular point in time are generally limited state changes over the duration of the event.

The relationship between citizen participation and satisfaction can also enhance public confidence in the ability of local governments to deliver efficient and effective delivery services (Fleming & Barnhouse, 2006). Information and government actions are transparent, and citizens have the right to direct oversight of how a city actually operates and protect their interests through open public forums in the policy process (Bland, 2013). According to Wang (2001), although a policy program attracts people's attention to participate in the policy process, most citizens are not willing to attend public affairs because they are distant from the political issues.

2.3. NRD program effectiveness

Cities can improve their public performance and the effectiveness of city service delivery when they incorporate citizen input as part of their performance indicators and citizens can voice their preferences through various mechanisms, such as hearings, local voting or performance measurement systems (G. E. Smith & Huntsman, 1997; Ho & Coates, 2004). Citizen participation is valuable to officials in particular in local level since citizen participation often helps public officials establish broad and effective public policy goals. Again, citizens can be active on policy issues, acting as advocates, partners in the implementation of public
programs and evaluators of public services (Epstein, Solomon, & Grifel, 2000; Ho & Coates, 2004). Their participation can improve the performance of local services and thereby improve their welfare and livelihoods as well as enhance government capacity (Cuthill & Fien, 2005; Wang, 2001).

According to Baker, Addams, and Davis (2005), Berner (2003), King et al. (1998) and Wang (2001) citizen participation, public sector satisfaction and efficiency are the main concerns of public officials as they are often related to administrative reform, policy implementation, representativeness, and capacity of the government. The definition of efficiency is the economic extent to which a process consumes resources, especially time and money, while differential efficiency is the extent to which a process actually achieves its intended purpose from the point of view of the customer (Roberts, 2004). One way of seeing the problem as being effective is doing it right. Effectiveness is closely related to governance, and Webster-Merriam online defines governance as the way in which a city, company, etc. is controlled by the people who run it. Effectiveness is often measured by the quality of the desired outcome. Effective measurements related to time and cost. In economics, efficiency often focuses on different aspects of the balance between supply and demand.

2.4. The relationships between participation, effectiveness and satisfaction

According to Wu & Jung (2016), making the connection between citizen participation, effectiveness and citizen satisfaction are also important values that are often overlooked by scholars in the field. They found the significant link between public performance, the involvement of citizens and overall on the satisfaction of citizens with the government's efforts of the citizens residing in San Francisco. In a similar vein, the relationship between effectiveness and citizen satisfaction with government actions is based on their review and evaluation of service quality, showing that performance affects public service, people's views of their local government (Wisniewski, 2001). That is, citizens' views and attitudes towards governments are important in explaining their satisfaction with local services. People are more likely to agree to pay for public services if their perception of public performance by local governments is relatively high, especially in the areas of public safety and emergency services (Donahue, Robbins, & Simonsen, 2008).

According to Langton (1978), when assessing citizen participation, it is necessary to consider four factors: purpose of citizen participation; citizen participation activities, subjects of citizen participation and objects of citizen participation: The purposes of citizen participation include discovery, education, measurement, and persuasion in the policy process (Walters et al., 2000). Following Wang (2001), citizen participation can also be specified as setting goals, defining strategies, policies and capacities, monitoring and evaluating government services. Citizen participation activities involve public hearings, citizen advisory councils, workshops, neighborhood meetings, and civic surveys (King et al., 1998; Wang, 2001). Objects of citizen participation are related to representation issues, emphasizing whether the same group of people participate regularly and whether the voice of all social groups, including poor citizens and minorities, are heard (Checkoway, Van Til, & Langton, 1978; Irvin & Stansbury, 2004). Subjects of citizen participation refers to government units or functional areas with citizen participation such as economic development, environmental protection, education, public health, policy and public safety (Wang, 2001).

Given the literature on political participation and citizen participation (Oliver, 2000;
Putnam, 1993, 2000; Verba & Nie, 1987; Verba et al., 1993), few empirical studies have examined participation systematically in the administrative process. They tend to focus on a specific engagement purpose or functional area such as budgeting (Ebdon, 2000, 2002; Franklin & Carberry-George, 1999), performance measurement (E. Berman & Wang, 2000; T. Poister & Streib, 1999), or strategic management (Rivenbark, 2003; T. H. Poister & Streib, 2005). Citizen participation activities are considered in a limited number of participation mechanisms (S. Berman, 1997; E. Berman & Wang, 2000). Therefore, it is appropriate to formulate the following hypotheses:

H1. The purpose of participation positively affects citizen participation in the NRD program

H2. Participatory activities have a positive impact on citizen participation in the NRD program

H3. The topic of participation positively affects citizen participation in the NRD program

H4. Citizen participation positively affects the effectiveness of the NRD program

H5. The effectiveness of the NRD program has a positive impact on citizen satisfaction in the NRD program

H6. Citizen participation positively affects citizen satisfaction in the NRD program

3. Methodology

3.1. Data collection and sampling

This study adopts a cross-sectional survey design to validate the proposed hypotheses. The survey targeted respondents participating in the NRD program in Nha Be district during 2016 - 2020. Our study distributed questionnaires to respondents and collected them back after two weeks. Data collection took place in February of 2021. A pre-test of the questionnaire was
conducted with a select group of respondents (n = 17) prior to data collection. The purpose of the pre-test was to determine whether anything was difficult to address because of the sentences, anonymity, formulation or technical terms (Colton & Covert, 2015). Pretesting also helped to improve construct validity (Shadish, Cook, & Campbell, 2002). Based on the pretesting results, modifications were made to the questionnaire to enhance its readability and validity prior to the data collection. Our study used random cluster sampling technique (Trochim, Donnelly, & Arora, 2016). Specifically, we selected 35 villages out of a total of 354 villages in Nha Be district at random (approximately 10%). We did not include Nha Be township into the defined population as it is not part of the NRD program. Then we tried to collect data from all the households participating in the NRD program in the selected villages. We sent out 900 questionnaires and received 780 valid responses, yielding an 86.7 percent response rate. This is considered to be a high response rate and reduces the likelihood of response bias (Cheung, Gillen, Faucett, & Krause, 2006). All of the respondents were between the ages of 18 and 70 at the time of sending the questionnaire. Male respondents make up 50.1% of the total, while female respondents make up 49.9%.

3.2. Measures

Our study uses a five-point Likert scale ranging from “1” (“strongly disagree”) to “5” (“strongly agree”) to measure all items. All six construct measurement scales were taken from the validated literature. Specifically, the scale for citizen participation was taken from Wu and Jung (2016) and Wang (2001); the scales for program effectiveness and citizen satisfaction were taken from Wu and Jung (2016) and Kelly and Swindell (2002). From the original scales, the study organized interviews with 17 experts who are working directly on the NRD program at district and commune levels to operationalize the study constructs before pretesting them in the field. As a result, the measurement scales comprise of a total of 61 items that are ready for data collection.

3.3. Data analysis

Data analysis runs an exploratory factor analysis (EFA) using IBM SPSS 24 to validate the study scales. The next step of analysis is apply a two-step approach recommended by Anderson and Gerbing (1988) to conduct measurement model and structural model analyses using IBM Amos 24. During this procedure, relevant tests are conducted to evaluate how reliable and valid (convergent and discriminant) the measurement model is. Second, it is to assess a structural model by running a path analysis to verify the proposed hypotheses.

3.4. Findings

Initially, an EFA procedure was conducted using principal component analysis (PCA) with varimax rotation. The purpose is to generate validated factors or constructs that could be used in subsequent analysis (Hair, Black, Babin, & Anderson, 2019). The process eliminated items with factor loading below 0.50 that was not eligible for further analysis (Hair et al., 2019). This process returned six factors with 28 items that are ready for Structural Equation Modelling (SEM) analysis.

3.5. Measurement model evaluation

Data was analysed using IBM SPSS Statistics version 24.0. Table 1 shows that all indices CMIN/DF, CFI, TLI, SRMR and RMSEA all passed the cut-off criteria (Hu & Bentler, 1999).
Evaluation of the reliability and validity of the model: The reliability and validity of the measurement model are assessed by the following parameters: composite reliability (CR), average of extracted variance (AVE), maximum shared variance (MSV) and mean shared variance (ASV) following Hair et al. (2019).

**Table 1**
Model fit measures

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Index</th>
<th>Actual values</th>
<th>Cut-off Criteria</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Terrible</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CMIN</td>
<td>1,211.812</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DF</td>
<td>323.000</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CMIN/DF</td>
<td>3.752</td>
<td>&gt; 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CFI</td>
<td>0.941</td>
<td>&lt; 0.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SRMR</td>
<td>0.056</td>
<td>&gt; 0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RMSEA</td>
<td>0.059</td>
<td>&gt; 0.08</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Authors’ calculation.

Next, the authors evaluate the reliability and validity of the measurement model. Table 2 shows that the composite reliability (CR) of the five latent variables ranges from 0.795 to 0.922 and the average extracted variance (AVE) of the five latent variables is from 0.504 to 0.720. Therefore, it is concluded that the measurement model meets the reliability and validity requirements (Hair et al., 2019).

**Table 2**
Results of model reliability and validity

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>CR</th>
<th>AVE</th>
<th>MSV</th>
<th>EFF</th>
<th>SUB</th>
<th>ACT</th>
<th>SAT</th>
<th>PUR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>EFF</td>
<td>0.922</td>
<td>0.629</td>
<td>0.525</td>
<td>0.793</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SUB</td>
<td>0.901</td>
<td>0.565</td>
<td>0.552</td>
<td>0.574***</td>
<td>0.751</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACT</td>
<td>0.907</td>
<td>0.585</td>
<td>0.552</td>
<td>0.724***</td>
<td>0.743***</td>
<td>0.765</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SAT</td>
<td>0.795</td>
<td>0.504</td>
<td>0.145</td>
<td>0.381***</td>
<td>0.219***</td>
<td>0.247***</td>
<td>0.710</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PUR</td>
<td>0.885</td>
<td>0.720</td>
<td>0.530</td>
<td>0.664***</td>
<td>0.598***</td>
<td>0.728***</td>
<td>0.328***</td>
<td>0.848</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: *** significant at p<0.001
Source: Authors’ calculation.

**3.6. Structural model evaluation**

Results from the model fit analysis in Table 3 show that all model fit indices, including
CMIN/DF, CFI, SRMR, RMSEA achieve model fit criteria from acceptable to excellent levels (Hu & Bentler, 1999).

Table 3

Structural model fit indices

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Index</th>
<th>Actual values</th>
<th>Cut-off Criteria</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Terrible</td>
<td>Acceptable</td>
<td>Excellent</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CMIN</td>
<td>1,486.590</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DF</td>
<td>376.000</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CMIN/DF</td>
<td>3.954</td>
<td>&gt; 5</td>
<td>&gt; 3</td>
<td>&gt; 1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CFI</td>
<td>0.932</td>
<td>&lt; 0.90</td>
<td>&lt; 0.95</td>
<td>&gt; 0.95</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SRMR</td>
<td>0.057</td>
<td>&gt; 0.10</td>
<td>&gt; 0.08</td>
<td>&lt; 0.08</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RMSEA</td>
<td>0.062</td>
<td>&gt; 0.08</td>
<td>&gt; 0.06</td>
<td>&lt; 0.06</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Authors’ calculation.

We further conducted path analysis of the hypothesised relationships in the model. Table 4 presents the path modelling results. It can be seen that except for hypothesis H6, all the hypothesised relationships were significantly confirmed.

Table 4

Results of structural model testing

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Hypothesis</th>
<th>Proposed effect</th>
<th>Path coefficients</th>
<th>Result</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>H1  The purpose of participation has a positive impact on people's participation in new rural construction</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>0.121*</td>
<td>Supported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H2  Participatory activities have a positive impact on people's participation in new rural construction</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>0.656***</td>
<td>Supported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H3  The topic of participation positively affects people's participation in new rural construction</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>0.315***</td>
<td>Supported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H4  Citizen participation positively affects the effectiveness of the new rural program</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>0.729***</td>
<td>Supported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H5  The NRD program effectiveness has a positive impact on citizen satisfaction</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>0.319***</td>
<td>Supported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H6  Citizen participation positively affects citizen satisfaction in NRD program</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>0.031ns</td>
<td>Not supported</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: * significant at p < 0.05; ** significant at p < 0.01; *** significant at p < 0.001; ns not significant at p < 0.05

Source: Authors’ calculation.
4. Discussion

Our study developed the theoretical model to test the hypothesised relationships citizen participation, program effectiveness and citizen satisfaction in implementing NRD program. The empirical findings show that all hypothesised relationships are confirmed except for hypothesis H6. Our findings are similar to other prior research, including Wu & Jung (2016) except the relationship between citizen participation and citizen satisfaction. Similar to Wisniewski (2001) and Donahue et al. (2008), we also found NRD program effectiveness is significantly related to citizen satisfaction. This relationship is important in explaining how citizen satisfaction is satisfied with the services provided by the NRD program. In addition, King et al. (1998); S. Berman (1997) and Walters et al. (2000) asserted that citizen participation in government decision-making helps to improve policy with regard to effectiveness, decision legitimacy, citizen response and trust into the government. In a similar vein, Vo (2014) found that level of citizen participation not only contributes to improving investment resources for NRD program but also helps to regain citizen’s trust in implementation of socio-economic development plan, while Tran (2018) and Ban (2016) asserts that citizen participation significantly influences citizen satisfaction because their participation contributes to NRD program.

4.1. Theoretical contributions

Our study found citizen participation positively influences citizen satisfaction in implementing the NRD program. This study provides critical empirical evidence and emphasizes the importance to have people to participate to effectively implement the NRD program. The better the effectiveness of the NRD program the better the citizen consensus and better citizen satisfaction. This is especially true in the context of NRD program implementation. Our study provides a theoretical model to study the impact of citizen participation on the NRD program effectiveness and then citizen satisfaction, especially the mediating role of NRD program effectiveness. This fills the knowledge gap and advance our understanding with regard to the relationship between citizen participation and citizen satisfaction, especially in the context of NRD program implementation. Our findings also enrich the growing literature of the role of citizen participation in the public sector in Vietnam.

4.2. Policy implications

Decision makers and NRD program managers should prioritize and promote citizen participation in implementation of the NRD program. To do that, they should be well prepared and plan carefully to include citizen participation from the beginning of the NRD program, or even during the design phase of the NRD program. By doing that, it is expected that the NRD program can mobilize sufficiently and effectively the citizen participation in successfully implementing the NRD program because the program can respond appropriately to the citizens’ needs and expectations. Ultimately, the NRD program is designed for the people and for the sake of people’s civilization, modernization, wealth and happiness.

4.3. Limitations and future research directions

Our work also has several limitations. First, our work only focuses on the NRD program. Future research may concentrate on other programs other than NRD such as socio-economic development plan implementation and compare the results with our study. Second, our study adopts the questionnaire-based survey which collects only cross-sectional data. Future studies
may collect longitudinal data to examine the differences of level of citizen participation in the various stages of the NRD implementation program (e.g., the beginning, the midterm and the final) to have a more thorough understanding with regard to the dynamics between citizen participation, effectiveness of the NRD program and citizen satisfaction.
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