INTRODUCTION

After a long fast growing period since “Doi Moi” program in 1986, Vietnam’s economy started to show some uncertainties which resulted in high inflation in 2008 – 2009 and 2010 - 2011. The overall inflation rate reached 23% in 2008 and 18.6% in 2011 but fresh food’s price grew by 30-40% while such essential accounted for 60% of a household’s monthly spending. Vietnam was indeed in the top ten countries which had the highest inflation rate in 2011 (CIA World Factbook, 2012). The average salary was improved only by 10-12% per year, while the inflation rate achieved 18.6% in 2011 (Nielsen Vietnam, 2011). Vietnamese consumer confidence continuously dropped from 109 index in Quarter 3 of 2009 to 103 in Quarter 4 of 2010 and 99 in Quarter 4 of 2011 and 94 in Quarter 1 of 2012 (Nielsen Vietnam, 2012). The high inflation threat is still there if the essentials prices cannot be controlled. All the Vietnamese households were put under the pressure to cut down on spending or have a better spending allocation.

The consumer price index has been kept at the high level with the peak in 2008 (23%), slowed down in 2009 and early 2010 but started to pick up in the second half of 2010 till now (Vietnam General Statistics Office, 2012). It’s different from the 2008 inflation, while the economic engine of growth was still strong, the end 2010-2011 inflation did have a serious impact on the FMCG purchasing power. The yearly growth rate of FMCG volume consumption (taking an example of six key cities of Ho Chi Minh, Hanoi, Cantho, Nhatrang, Can tho, and Phu Quoc) was 4.1% in 2010 and 5.7% in 2011, which is lower than the 2008 inflation rate. The high inflation rate and the increased cost of living have led to a decrease in the purchasing power of Vietnamese consumers, affecting the FMCG market.
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Danang and Haiphong) was in a sharp decline trend since early 2011, hitting the bottom point by June and July 2011 and slowly recovered at low level up to now (see Figure 1).

**Figure 1. FMCG department growth rate year on year in 6 major cities**

The high inflation rate and low consumer confidence index seriously impacted to the purchase power. More than 79,000 enterprises had to be closed in 2011 and 17,735 is the number in first 4 months of 2012. The stockpile index of processing and manufacturing sector rose by 21.1 percent year on year in January (Vietnam Government Statistics Office, 2012). It is time for all manufacturers to identify and focus on the right portfolio that will sell despite consumers’ shrinking budgets.

However, there is still a desire for self-indulgence which make them head to ‘affordable premium and/ or self-indulgence products, while Vietnam market trend is getting more and more integrated into the global trend. Consumers are more connected to the world via the development of technology. They have arising need for new experimentation to catch up with what is happening globally. Besides, the increase of middle and high social economic class backs up for the higher self-indulgence demand, especially in main cities like Hanoi and Ho Chi Minh City.

With the above problems, consumer behavior during inflation and recession time is a must understanding for all entrepreneurs if they want to survive and overcome this tough time. The companies must understand clearly the actual and potential reactions of their targeted consumers in terms of what they buy and what can make they buy. Therefore, the purpose of this study is to identify the consumers’ reaction towards the FMCG purchase during inflation time. This will also help to validate whether the self-indulgence demand is high in Vietnam market in this time or not. In concrete, the paper will try to answer the following questions:

During the inflation time, whether consumers cut down their spending on what they perceive un-necessaries or not?

**Source:** Nielsen Vietnam, 2012
Are consumers more active in seeking for better saving choice such as products on promotion, cheaper brands, smaller package size, etc.?

Along with the getting more sophisticated consumer demand, whether consumers head to affordable premium and/or self-indulgence products or not?

What is the trend of consumers’ FMCGs consumption in the coming year?

These answers will help manufacturers and retailers to understand more about expectations from consumers, values they are caring about and what are going to be the trends in their purchase behavior in order to have a better business plan to survive in the tough economic time and/or sustain the growth.

Research scope and methodology

Secondary and primary data are used in the research. Secondary data are collected from text books, journals and websites. The primary data is collected via both qualitative and quantitative approaches. The qualitative research is an exploratory action to get deeply into what, how consumers think and act. This phase is to find out information of what products are considered as essential or self-indulgence products in consumers’ perception. The focus group sample size embraces 16 consumers, one group of 8 consumers is in Hanoi, and other group is in Ho Chi Minh City. They are female decision makers or key influencers for FMCGs in a household with their ages of between 18 and 55. The result of qualitative phase is used to develop the quantitative questionnaire.

The quantitative survey is conducted to assess consumers’ purchase behavior towards overall FMCG categories, not focusing deeply on any specific category. The FMCG categories are classified as following: beverage (soft drink, energy drink, tea bag, coffee, fruit juice, package water) household care (laundry detergent, fabric softener, dishwashing liquid, tissue), personal care (hair conditioner, personal wash, body lotion, shampoo, deodorants, toothpaste, facial care products), food (cakes, gums, instant noodles, cooking oil, sauces, snakes), milk-based products (sweeten condensed milk, milk powder) and cigarette. The purchase behavior of consumers in inflation time includes consumer expenditure, lifestyle, consumption and visiting point of purchase. Ho Chi Minh City and Hanoi are chosen for the survey because they are representative for highest purchasing power in Vietnam. In every circumstance, consumers in these cities are perceived to have the fastest reactions and the trends in these cities will soon spread to other cities and beyond areas. Convenience sampling method is used. The internet access is expected to be high among the target respondents, so all questionnaires are sent to respondents via emails. In the questionnaire, a screen question is used to identify the location of respondents and their role in purchasing decision making. The questionnaire contains 4 sections, including (1) socio-demographic profile, (2) attitudinal beliefs towards market context and personal status, (3) consumption and shopping behavior pattern, (4) self-indulgence and its impact on purchase behavior and (5) intention for future purchase. Descriptive statistics are used to describe the basic features of the data in a study. Descriptive statistics provide a powerful summary that may enable comparisons across people or other units.

Table 1 describes the profile of respondents. The sample size in the quantitative phase is 360, in which 48% of respondents is in Hanoi and 52% is in Ho Chi Minh City. The target respondent group are decision makers and key influencers for FMCG purchase in a family.
In the research sample, middle income respondents are the majority group (47%), followed by low income group (27%) and high income group (26%).

**Table 1. Characteristics of the sample**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>Percentage (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Sex</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>360</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Age</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18-25</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>15.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26-35</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>41.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36-45</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>26.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46-55</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>16.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Occupation</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Management</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>16.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Housewife</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>12.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Office employee</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>44.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Doctor/engineer</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>13.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Teacher</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>12.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Role in FMCG purchase</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Decision maker</td>
<td>220</td>
<td>61.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Key influencer</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>38.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Household income</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low income (&lt; 7 Mil.VND/month)</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>27.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medium income (7-15 Mil.VND/month)</td>
<td>170</td>
<td>47.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High income (&gt; 15 Mil.VND/month)</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>25.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Living location</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hanoi</td>
<td>175</td>
<td>48.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ho Chi Minh City</td>
<td>185</td>
<td>51.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>360</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Research result

Qualitative phase

The result of qualitative phase shows some differences between consumers in two markets Hanoi and Ho Chi Minh City which can be impacted by the area culture, for example the consumer concern, the promotion type they are looking for, the purchase influencing factors and activities for self-indulgence. Table 2 describes in detail the differences between two markets in terms of purchasing behavior during the inflation time.

Table 2. Result of qualitative research

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Contents</th>
<th>Ho Chi Minh City</th>
<th>Hanoi</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Top three concerns</td>
<td>Commodity prices</td>
<td>Economic crisis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Job security</td>
<td>Health</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Inflation</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Change in purchase behavior towards FMCGs before inflation and now</td>
<td>Cut down the spending. Only buy what necessary</td>
<td>Look for price promotion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Nothing change. Keep the same</td>
<td>Buy bigger pack sizes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Buy more expensive products as much better deals now</td>
<td>Buy smaller pack sizes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Look for discounted premium products</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Reduce the shopping frequency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Purchase influencing factors</td>
<td>Price</td>
<td>Price</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sales promotion</td>
<td>Brand</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Convenience</td>
<td>Sales promotion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intention for FMCG purchase behavior in the next 6-12 months</td>
<td>Still need to be cautious in spending</td>
<td>Expectation for life changes now. More demands to enjoy life.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Continue to look for price discount and promotion</td>
<td>Always need to keep myself if happy to be able to overcome the challenges.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Will continue to buy if value for money</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The need of self-indulgence</td>
<td>Of course, everyone needs to learn to enjoy life</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Many pressure in life. Always need to do something to self-motivate.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Activities for self-indulgence</td>
<td>Buy something for myself (i.e. clothes, cosmetics)</td>
<td>Buy something for myself (i.e. clothes, cosmetics)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Do out of home activities (i.e. cinema)</td>
<td>Gathering with my friends</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Travelling</td>
<td>Read a book/Watch movies with my family</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Go to beauty services</td>
<td>Go to beauty services</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Products to buy for self-indulgence</td>
<td>Shoes</td>
<td>Handbag</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Clothes</td>
<td>Clothes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Handbag</td>
<td>Cosmetics</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cosmetics</td>
<td>Shoes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Skincare products</td>
<td>Skincare products</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Perfume</td>
<td>Perfume</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The qualitative research result shows that consumers cut down spending on un-necessary products. While Ho Chi Minh consumers look for products with sales promotion and buy private brand products, Hanoi consumers look for discount premium products and reduce the frequency of shopping. However, consumers think that under pressure in life, they need to do something to self-motivate. They need to keep themselves happy to be able to overcome challenges in their life. So activities for self-indulgence may make their feeling better. These activities comprise of buying something for themselves, go to cinema, eating out with their family and friends, traveling somewhere and go to beauty shops. The products they often buy for self-indulgence include cosmetics, skin care products, perfume, shoes, clothes, and handbags. The most factors influence consumers buying decision are price and promotion. Their buying tendency in the next year is to be cautious in spending, continue to look for price discount and sales promotion and buy if value for money.

Quantitative phase

Main concerns during the inflation time

Given the current attitudinal beliefs, the consumers express several concerns for themselves in the next six months as shown in Table 3. Economy status and price increase are identified as the top concerns in consumers’ perception. Such concerns will directly impact to their purchase intention in the present and in the future.

Table 3. The top concerns in the next 6 months

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Concern</th>
<th>1st Rank</th>
<th>2nd Rank</th>
<th>3rd Rank</th>
<th>4th Rank</th>
<th>5th Rank</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Economy status</td>
<td>27%</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>28%</td>
<td>18%</td>
<td>7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Price increase in utility bill</td>
<td>25%</td>
<td>25%</td>
<td>25%</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>13%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Food price increase</td>
<td>23%</td>
<td>23%</td>
<td>25%</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>14%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Job security</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>17%</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>25%</td>
<td>30%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Health</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>30%</td>
<td>36%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The majority of consumers (70%) have reacted to the tough economic and personal finance status via cutting down on their FMCG spending and the reaction happened very early right after the market showed the sign of inflation and uncertainty in 2011-2012.

Cutting down spending FMCGs

The overall cut down level for FMCG spending is about 16% as per indicated in the Figure 2. Faster response to the inflation but the level of cutting down of Hanoi consumers is lower than Ho Chi Minh consumers (11% vs. 17%). Most of respondents mentioned that they cut down on FMCG spending because things were more expensive and their family had less money to spend. Also, the future outlook in their perception is not bright enough to trigger them to purchase more. The respondents admitted that they were afraid the economy would get worse in the next year and then, 36% of respondents said they had to save more and be more cautious in spending.
Figure 3 notes that Hanoi consumers seem to have a faster reaction towards the situation compared to Ho Chi Minh consumers when 52% of respondents mentioned that they already cut down on the spending 12 months ago while the timing in Ho Chi Minh City was spread from 12 months ago (36%) to 9 months ago (53%).

**FMCG consumption and shopping behavior pattern**

Dropping the ‘non-essentials’ is the common action from one-third of the respondents. Being more promotion junkie or looking for better saving with bigger packs or trading down to cheaper products are chosen to be the next options with 19%, 15%, 14% of respondents respectively. A little different choice set is seen between Ho Chi Minh and Hanoi consumers. Hanoi consumers prefer looking for local or Vietnamese brands as the solution (19%) while Ho Chi Minh consumers trade down to cheaper products without a real care about the product brand or origin (23%).
The change in FMCG purchase behavior is seen across the product groups (personal care products, household care products, food and beverage). The consumption behavior differs among categories when there is minimum change in category for children like milk powder (43% of respondents still consume the same amount without any change) and change happens in other categories for family like cooking oil, shampoo, detergent as in Table 4.

**Table 4. The change in consumption behavior among some key categories**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consumption behavior (%)</th>
<th>Milk powder</th>
<th>Biscuit</th>
<th>Soft drink</th>
<th>Laundry</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Consume more</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consume less</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consume the same amount but look for better saving</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consume the same amount without any change</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

However, the good point is consumers tend to look for a better saving in consumption rather than consume less for most of the categories. For example, 79% of respondents claim to consumer the same amount but look for better saving while only 18% claim to consume less for shampoo category. This is definitely a source of continuous growth for consumption in Vietnam market in the future.
There are also some changes in shopping behavior identified via the study. Table 5 shows that nearly 60\% of respondents reduced the number of visiting shops and 44\% of respondents reduced number of products per purchase. They also choose the nearer stores to save petrol (33\%) and bought more products on promotion (44\%).

Self-indulgence and its impact on purchase behavior

Figure 5 presents the needs of self-indulgence. The need of making self-happy is very high among all respondents with the mean of 4.05 with the scale from 1 (strongly disagree) to 5 (strongly agree). They have a strong belief that they can only make the others happy when they are happy themselves (mean = 4.13). And the mean to satisfy the self-indulgence via buying something new but affordable during the inflation is commonly shared among the majority of respondents (mean = 3.58).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Changing behavior (%)</th>
<th>Totally Average (n=360)</th>
<th>HCMC (n= 185)</th>
<th>Hanoi (n=175)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Reduce the number of visiting shops</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Choose the shops where there are cheaper prices regardless the distance of travel</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Visit shops/ grocery stores near home to save cost on petrol</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reduce number of products per purchase</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Choose to buy more products on promotion</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Buy more Vietnamese goods</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Figure 5. Assessment of self-indulgence needs**

- When I am happy, I can make the others, especially my family happy
- I still buy something for myself but choose more affordable stuff due to inflation
- Buying something new for myself can make me happy
- Try the way to make myself happy is important to me
Intention for future FMCG purchase

The future is not really bright in respondents’ opinions with two-third of them said that they did not believe the inflation would end in the next 6 months (see Table 6).

Table 6. The future intention for FMCG purchase in the next 6 months

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Future intention (%)</th>
<th>Totally Average (n=360)</th>
<th>HCMC (n=185)</th>
<th>Hanoi (n=175)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Not buy some ‘non-essentials’</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Buy less / smaller packs of the same products</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Buy bigger packs to save money</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Change channel to save money</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trade down to cheaper products</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trade up to more premium/indulgent products</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Purchase more on promotion</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Purchase more local brands</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No change</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Conclusion and managerial implication

The overall objective of this paper is to understand the consumer behavior during inflation time. The qualitative and quantitative researches were used to answer the four research questions.

The first question is to understand the change in consumer behavior towards FMCG purchase during inflation under the question that “During the inflation time, do consumers cut down their spending on what they perceive un-necessaries?”. The result suggests that the consumers are very aware of the overall economic status and that directly impacts to their FMCG purchase behavior. They admit to have cut down on their overall spending, especially for non-essentials products. What consumers perceived in terms of the economic context and their personal or household financial status did impact on their actual behavior.

Given such perception towards future outlook, the consumers tend to continue the ‘saving’ approach with purchase more on promotion (24%) and not buy some ‘non-essentials’ (21%). Understanding all the possible purchase intentions is critical to the manufacturers to define the right marketing strategy.

The second question is “Are consumers more active in seeking for better saving choice such as products on promotion, cheaper brands, smaller pack sizes, etc.?”. The result shows a significant percentage of respondents did have a change in their FMCG purchase behavior. The overall theme for the change was to look for a better saving in FMCG spending via better deals by purchasing more on promotion, better average price spent by purchasing bigger pack sizes, compromising the brands to pay less by buying cheaper brands or buying local brand or change the channel to save. However, there are some differences in choice between Ho Chi Minh consumers and Hanoi consumers. While consumers in both markets share the common behavior of purchasing more on promotion and buying bigger pack sizes to save, they are different in the brand consciousness and
channel choice. Ho Chi Minh consumers are open to trade down to cheaper brands while Hanoi consumers still look for a branded one although that is a Vietnamese brand. This truly reflects a characteristic of Hanoi people which appear consciousness and branded-towards preference when Ho Chi Minh people more care about the functionality and more practical in spending and consumption.

The third question is to explore the impact of the need of self-indulgence on the purchase behavior: “Along with the getting more sophisticated consumer demand, whether consumers head to affordable premium and/ or self-indulgence products or not”. In the qualitative phase, consumers shared the high need of being happy and self-indulgent. They are getting more and more demanding in life and require more activities to motivate themselves. Buying new things such as clothes, cosmetics, handbags, etc. is chosen as a basic self-indulgence activity. In the quantitative phase, this finding is confirmed again that the need of being happy is increasing and buying a new thing is an option to consumers. However, during the inflation time, they need to balance between the need and the capability. They are looking for more affordable things, as it is noted that consumers cut down in their spending for non-essentials. At the same time, however, they still have the increasing need of self-indulgence and that encourages them to continue buying new and affordable things to satisfy the need such as lipsticks, shoes, etc.

The answer of the fourth question is to get some ideas of the potential future consumption trends when understand the consumers’ perceptions towards future context and how they tend to behave. The majority of the respondents (68%) did not have a strong belief that the inflation would end within the next six months and they would continue the ‘best deal strategy’. Therefore, the potential consumption trends are forecasted in the next future as below:

**Belt bucking trend:** This trend will continue due to the overall not very positive outlook. Consumers will keep being very cautious with un-necessities spending.

**Value seeker trend:** Consumers will keep seeking for value in all the purchases. The value here is not necessarily the low price but can be price/benefit combination that consumers can reckon. Consumers will continue looking for either small packs (for low and middle income households) or big packs (for high income households). They will browse and compare among the channels and brands to make sure that they will get the best deals for all the purchases. Consumers will become promotion junkies.

**Affordable premium trend:** The more consumers are connected, the higher need for new experiment is. As long as the premium products can prove to provide consumers with unique benefits which can be either functional or emotional benefits and within the reach pricing point. There is still a big opportunity for such products to grow.

It is obviously reckoned that consumers do observe and follow closely the movement in the macro status. They have quick reactions to what they perceive. The majority of respondents have cut down their spending since 12 months ago, right when the inflation was picking up. Therefore, the manufacturers need to keep close and continuous connection with consumers to be updated with all the changes in consumption potential to have a better sales and production forecasting.

For the pack size offer, manufacturers need to pay attention to the fact that a group of consumers will tend to buy smaller pack sizes to cope with the price increase while another group of consumers
will buy bigger pack sizes to have a better saving per unit. The pack size range offer should be developed accordingly to meet such demands. For the brand offer, there are opportunities for both mainstream and premium brands to be successful during this time. However, the brand needs to be able to provide reason to believe or clear benefits to consumers for them to be willing to buy. For the innovation offer, health consciousness or self-indulgence, products for kids are increasing trends that are worthwhile considering new products to meet.

Manufacturers suffer from the input cost increase which leads to the requirement to pass on the price increase to consumers. However, they should be very aware that consumers are browsing more and more and they are on top of the price gaps among products. Strategic value proposition planning is necessary to harness different segments via packaging, positioning and optimal pricing for consumers. During the inflation time, while consumers tend to cut down on shopping frequency or try to save on transportation cost, being available in the nearby stores means everything.

While price and promotion factors are their top of mind, Ho Chi Minh consumers also care about convenience while Hanoi consumers care about health and brand. Ho Chi Minh consumers are willing to trade down to cheaper brands if they cannot afford the currently used brand. Therefore, promoting for value brand or smaller pack size and ensure the wide availability are critical to keep the consumers with the brands. For Hanoi consumers, the manufacturers should promote more and communicate more to consumers regarding health and brand and quality of the products.

While consumers become more of promotion junkies and in-store competition becomes fiercer, both consumer and trade promotions will be leveraged at the most by the manufacturers. However, there is a big side effect for a frequent and price discount-based promotion scheme. Manufacturers need to communicate to consumers of the value and benefits that their products offer to create the differentiation. Manufacturers can harness the explosive combination of right media and content to engage specific target audience continuously.

**Limitation and further research**

The paper demonstrates a research conducted in Ho Chi Minh City and Hanoi only. The convenience sampling method with 360 respondents may not be representative for all Vietnamese consumers which limit the generalization of the research. Future study should extend larger sample in other regions, especially the rural market to better understand the difference of purchase behavior between urban and rural consumers.
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